Meteorology and Climatology: Module 2: Rubric for Discussion

Criteria/Scale	Emerging (60%)	Developing (75%)	Meeting (90%)	Exceeding (100%)
Problem solving/idea developing	Incomplete and inaccurate problem solution, and illogical idea, never relates answers to the course materials	At least one answer is off the point, lacks ties between answer and map , rarely relates ideas to course materials	Solutions are mostly complete and accurate, and very nearly tied to the topic, frequently relates answers to course materials	Pressure-temperature and pressure-precipitation relationship as well as other weather features on the weather map are completely and accurately described, other answers are not just theoretical, but are explained with examples
Understanding of topic and use of facts	The student did not show an adequate understanding of the relationship, no effort is put to support the logic with map examples	Student seems to understand the relation between variables, and major points are supported with examples which may not be consistent with the maps	Student understands the pressure-temperature-precipitation relation in depth and major points are adequately supported with examples from maps facts and answers to questions	Student clearly understands the pressure-temperature-precipitation relation in depth, and major points made are well supported with several examples from maps and answers
Contribution to learning community (Feedback to others)	Responses are late and thoughts are scattered, adds nothing to the discussion	Consistency and clarity in responding to others' post are deficient, adds little or none to further the discussion	Analysis of others posts and contribution meets the expectations. Mostly consistent and curious	Clear and concise analysis of others posts, is very consistent in contributing and adding to the discussion, and in displaying curiosity in discussion
Delivery within the posts	Needs improvement on the delivery of opinions/ideas in the post.	There are areas where grammar, mechanics, and netiquette rules are not thoroughly followed and post has errors	Mostly adheres with the rules of grammar, writing mechanics and netiquette; posts are reviewed before posting	Post consistently adheres with the rules of grammar, writing mechanics, netiquette; and clear evidence of careful review before posting